Carthage Senior Fellowship: Call for Applications

Six months for senior experts, former diplomats and professors to direct a flagship multidisciplinary study, chair the fellows' seminar and help set TIPCS research standards

TIPCS-FEL-2027-CA · Call for applications, version 1.0, 8 November 2026

1. At a glance

Item	Detail
Programme	Carthage Senior Fellowship, TIPCS Academy, fellowship family
Who	Senior experts: professors, former diplomats, retired or serving senior officials, and senior practitioners with a distinguished record; adults only (18+)
Length	Six months, with a defined time commitment agreed at appointment
Language	Arabic, French or English; executive summary in all three
Location	Monastir (HBSSC) and Tunis for key sessions; remote work otherwise
University co-tutorship	Optional: an agreement with a university research unit where a study benefits from it
Application	Create or open a TIPCS account, then apply at /members/apply
Deadline	Rolling, open all year; the number of senior fellows per cycle is deliberately small
Status	Cohort dates and funding to be confirmed

2. Background and rationale

Carthage was a crossroads where traders, diplomats and scholars exchanged goods and ideas across the sea. The name here stands for a convening role: a senior person who brings accumulated judgement to a shared enterprise and helps others do better work.

A young research institution needs three things that cannot be bought quickly: methodological standards that command respect, a culture of honest peer challenge, and the experience of people who have seen policy succeed and fail at close range. Senior experts, for their part, often wish to put years of knowledge into a structured form before it is lost, but lack a neutral platform and a team.

The Carthage Senior Fellowship pairs these needs. A small number of senior fellows each direct a flagship study that involves at least two departments, chair the monthly fellows' seminar in turn, review the drafts of other fellows and advise the Academic Council on research standards. The fellowship is a position of responsibility more than a position of honour.

3. Objectives and expected outcomes

- Deliver a flagship multidisciplinary report that makes a substantive contribution on a major question of peace, conflict or social cohesion in the region.

- Set an example of method: explicit assumptions, transparent sources, alternative explanations and stated limits.
- Chair the fellows' seminar and return written, constructive review on the drafts of other fellows.
- Contribute to TIPCS research standards: a short guidance note on method, ethics, peer review or evidence thresholds.
- Capture and structure expert knowledge, for instance through documented interviews, a lessons-learned review or a comparative case file.
- Mentor early-career fellows and support their entry into research networks.

4. Research and work agenda

A senior fellow may propose a different question if it plainly serves the TIPCS mission and requires at least two departments. A memoir, a personal account or an advocacy text is not a research study; where personal experience is a source, it must be documented and tested against other evidence.

- Conflict Studies with Peace and Security: What does the record of mediation and negotiation in the Mediterranean basin over recent decades show about when external facilitation helps, and when it entrenches deadlock?
- Environmental Studies with Governance, Democracy and Civil Society: Which regional arrangements on shared water, coast or energy have lowered the risk of disputes, and what institutional features explain their durability?
- Migration and Mobility with Governance: How have cooperation frameworks on mobility and return evolved, and what do they imply for trust between societies?
- Gender Studies with Peace and Security: How has women's participation in peace and security processes in the region altered outcomes, and under what conditions?
- Cultural Studies with Youth and Intergenerational Dialogue: How do shared memory and heritage policies pass between generations, and when do they heal or harden divisions?
- Digital Peace and AI with Conflict Studies: What governance of information and algorithms is needed to limit incitement while protecting expression?
- Methodology and standards: What evidence thresholds, ethics practices and review processes should a regional peace research institute adopt, drawing on comparable institutions?

5. Programme design and calendar

The following guardrails apply to every project.

- Evidence thresholds for expert material: testimony is cited with its source and context, checked against at least one independent source, and labelled as testimony where it cannot be checked.
- Interview protocol: a short common protocol; consent and attribution choices (named, anonymised, off the record) are recorded; sensitive material is stored separately.
- Roundtable rules: the event is closed-door but its written rules (attribution, record, attendance, declared conflicts) are agreed in advance, and a short record is published.
- Review rubric: the same rubric (question, evidence, reasoning, limits, clarity) is used for every fellow's draft, including the senior fellow's own report.
- Standards note: it states the problem, the proposed rule, its evidence and its limits, and is adopted by the Council only after a short consultation among fellows.

Month	Stage	Activities	Review point
1	Framing	Present the study design to the Academic Council; agree time commitment, team and the role in the fellows' seminar; constitute a small advisory group; sign ethics and neutrality undertakings	Design endorsed by the Council; seminar rota set
2	Evidence	Gather sources, interviews and data with the support of a research assistant; document access and limits; chair the first fellows' seminar	Evidence plan reviewed; first seminar report
3	Analysis	Analyse evidence across the two departments; test competing explanations; present to the Council and to peer fellows	Mid-term review with written report; peer challenge session
4	Implementation step	Convene a closed-door, rules-based expert roundtable or lessons-learned workshop to test the findings with practitioners and officials, or apply the standards note to a live TIPCS review	Roundtable record and short note
5	Public output	Write the flagship report and executive summary; review drafts of other fellows; external review of the report	Two external reviewers, one from each of two disciplines
6	Review and release	Revise; chair the final seminar; present the report in public; deliver the standards guidance note; plan the afterlife of the study	Closing review by the Council; publication decision

6. Supervision, mentoring and the Academic Council

Senior fellows are not supervised in the way students or early-career researchers are. They are accountable to the Academic Council, which is to be constituted by the Board. The chair is intended to be a professor of a relevant discipline, with members from at least three departments and a research-methods specialist. The Council meets each fellow at the start, at the mid-term and at the end.

A senior fellow is also a reviewer: each reviews the interim drafts of at least two other fellows, with a written note using a common rubric, and meets student and early-career fellows at least once. Review is reciprocal; a senior fellow's own report goes to two external reviewers drawn from outside TIPCS and from different disciplines.

Where a senior fellow has held public office, the Council applies a stricter conflict-of-interest procedure and requires a statement on what may and may not be drawn from official experience. No names are announced before individuals have accepted their roles.

7. The role of university co-tutorship

Co-tutorship is optional. It is an agreement between TIPCS and a university or one of its research units, whereby the university supplies access to library and data resources, an ethics committee, junior researchers who can assist, or a venue for the roundtable. It may also help to link the study to a doctoral programme, where that institution's own rules allow. TIPCS makes no claim about any credit, title or recognition an institution may confer.

A drop-down list of well-known universities is offered in the application; the applicant may type another. Appearing in the list does not mean an agreement, endorsement or contact by TIPCS. A senior fellow who

is already a professor should state the home institution and clarify that the fellowship work is separate from the university post, so that duties and credit are clear.

The agreement covers who holds the data, how authorship is shown and how the university's name is used. TIPCS will not use any institution's name or logo without written consent. The agreement is signed by a person authorised by the institution and is not an employment contract.

8. Eligibility

Serving ministers, elected officeholders and candidates in an ongoing election are not eligible during the period of office or candidacy.

- Aged 18 or over.
- A distinguished record: for instance a professorship, senior diplomatic or international-organisation service, or leadership of a major research or policy programme, normally spanning fifteen years or more.
- A flagship study design involving at least two TIPCS departments.
- Willingness to chair the fellows' seminar, to review other fellows' drafts and to contribute to the standards note.
- A time commitment agreed in writing, compatible with other duties.
- A signed ethics undertaking, a political neutrality undertaking and a declaration of interests, including advisory roles and past or present ties to governments, companies or political bodies.
- Working proficiency in Arabic, French or English.

9. Application package and how to apply

Applying starts with creating or opening a TIPCS account and following the flow at /members/apply. Referees are contacted only after shortlisting. No identity document is requested at application. Personal data are used only to assess the application and manage the fellowship, under Law 2004-63; the TIPCS declaration to the INPDP is in progress (see the legal section).

- A study proposal of up to 3,000 words: question, significance, two or more departments involved, sources, method, ethics and risks.
- An advisory-group outline naming the kind of expertise sought, without naming individuals who have not agreed.
- A statement on the standards contribution you intend to make, in one page.
- A curriculum vitae and a selected bibliography or list of previous reports and responsibilities, up to five items with brief annotations.
- A statement of interests and of any past or present role that could be seen as a conflict.
- Optional university co-tutorship: choose from the drop-down list or type the university name.
- Two referees from different institutions.
- Proposed time commitment and availability for the seminar and public events.

10. Selection: criteria, process and timeline

Three Academic Council readers score each application independently. Shortlisted applicants are invited to a 40-minute discussion with the Council in which they defend the design. Seniority is no guarantee of selection: a proposal that is weak on method will not be carried by reputation. The Council recommends a

small number of fellows per cycle and a reserve list, and the Board confirms. Decision periods are announced for each cohort. Unsuccessful applicants may ask for a procedural review by an Academic Council member who was not on the panel; scores are not renegotiated.

Criterion	What reviewers look for	Weight
Significance and originality of the flagship study	A question of lasting consequence, not a restatement of known positions	25
Scientific rigour and multidisciplinary design	Credible method, genuine use of two departments, plan for competing explanations	25
Distinction and judgement	A record showing sound judgement and openness to challenge	15
Capacity to lead and review	Ability to chair, give constructive critique and mentor	15
Independence and neutrality	Record of independence; handling of conflicts of interest	10
Contribution to TIPCS standards	Usefulness of the proposed guidance note	10
Total		100

11. Funding and support

TIPCS is seeking funders and announces no amounts until they are confirmed. Each figure reads: [amount to be set by the Board with funders]. The fellowship is not a salary or a pension supplement and creates no employment relationship; it recognises a defined commitment and covers the cost of the study.

- In-kind support sought: use of university facilities, meeting rooms at HBSSC Monastir, volunteer rapporteurs and translators.
- Funding sources to be approached: foundations, cooperation agencies and research-funding bodies. None has pledged at this date. TIPCS accepts no funding that conditions findings.
- Support is provided by traceable bank transfer against the signed agreement, never in cash; every expense requires three signatures; funders and hosts are screened under the policy on sanctions and due diligence.

Cost category	Purpose	Amount
Research allowance	Sources, interviews, translation, research assistant	[amount to be set by the Board with funders]
Mobility support	Travel to Monastir and Tunis and to interviews	[amount to be set by the Board with funders]
Convening and roundtable costs	Venue, interpretation, rapporteur for the expert roundtable	[amount to be set by the Board with funders]
Mentoring and review recognition	Time given to chairing, reviewing and mentoring	[amount to be set by the Board with funders]
Publication costs	Editing, translation of the executive summary, layout, open release	[amount to be set by the Board with funders]
Insurance	Cover for travel and events	[amount to be set by the Board with funders]

12. Outputs, deliverables, dissemination and indicators

The flagship report is published on tipcs.org, announced through scholarly and policy networks, and offered to institutions concerned for response, which is published alongside it where they agree.

Output	Format	Indicator
Flagship report	10,000 to 15,000 words, with an executive summary in Arabic, French and English	Published after external review; citations and downloads over time
Roundtable record	Short note on what experts and practitioners challenged or confirmed	Participants' breadth; record published under agreed rules
Standards guidance note	3 to 6 pages on method, ethics or review	Adopted by the Academic Council, in whole or in part
Seminar leadership	Monthly chairing; written review notes	Reviews delivered on time; fellows' feedback
Mentoring	At least one structured meeting with each early-career fellow	Number of meetings; fellows' feedback
Public presentation	Keynote-style presentation with questions	Attendance

13. Research ethics, safeguarding, data protection and inclusion

This section is general information and is not legal advice.

- The flagship study passes ethics review before fieldwork, including interviews with sensitive sources, coordinated by the Ethics and Integrity Officer once appointed.
- Participants are adults, consent is informed and voluntary, and interviewees may speak off the record or anonymously.
- No classified or protected official information is used; former officials state what they may not disclose.
- Data minimisation and secure storage under Law 2004-63; nothing is sent abroad or to an institution without consent.
- Strict neutrality: no partisan positions, no output on behalf of a government, party or company.
- Disclosure of interests is published with the report; the Council may require recusal on a topic.
- Safeguarding: concerns about misconduct, including by a senior person toward junior fellows, are raised confidentially with the Ethics and Integrity Officer or, until one is appointed, with the Chief of Staff or the designated safeguarding focal point, under the ratified safeguarding policy and the policy on whistleblowing and complaints, and are handled without retaliation
- Inclusion: attention to gender balance in advisory groups and roundtables, to regional and linguistic diversity, and to accessibility.

14. Intellectual property and open access

The senior fellow holds authorship; TIPCS holds a non-exclusive licence to publish and archive the report, and encourages an open licence with attribution. The standards guidance note belongs to TIPCS, with credit to its author, so that it can be updated by the Academic Council over time. A home institution's rules on publication prevail where they apply. The report and executive summaries are open to read online without registration. Third-party material (texts, tables, maps, images) must be cleared by the author, and

photographs or recordings of identifiable people follow the draft photo-and-media policy, with written consent.

15. Monitoring and evaluation

The Council reviews each senior fellowship at the start, mid-term and close, using a published rubric. Evidence includes reviewers' reports, the quality of the seminar reviews as rated by other fellows, and a confidential survey of fellows and staff about the fellow's leadership. A follow-up at twelve months asks about the reception of the report and the use of the standards note. Results are reported in aggregate. Contribution, not attribution, is the standard for claims about the report's influence.

16. Risks and mitigation

Risk	Likelihood and effect	Mitigation
Insufficient time given	Frequent; weak leadership	Written time commitment; seminar rota; Council check at mid-term
Authority overrides evidence	Possible; reputational harm	Rubric-based review; external reviewers from two disciplines
Perceived political alignment	Possible; credibility loss	Neutrality undertaking, published interests, balanced advisory group
Disclosure of protected information	Rare; severe	Clear rule, ethics review, legal check before publication
Imbalance toward junior fellows	Possible; discouragement	Structured review rubric, safeguarding route, mentoring guidelines
Funding shortfall	Possible; fewer senior fellows	Reduce number of appointments before reducing review quality

17. Partners and outreach

TIPCS intends to approach universities, diplomatic academies, regional research networks, international institutions and professional associations to identify candidates and to host the roundtable. These are targets to be approached; no institution has agreed. The call is circulated in three languages, and nominations are welcome, but a nominated person must still apply and meet every condition.

18. Legal and regulatory framework (Tunisia)

This section explains in plain words the Tunisian rules that matter for this call. It is general information, not legal advice. The Constitution of 27 January 2014 protects freedom of association, private life and personal data, and access to information. A reform of the personal-data law and of the associations decree has been discussed publicly: check the current text before relying on any statement. Anything not listed is "applicable Tunisian rules, to be verified by counsel".

Law or text	In plain words	What it means for this call
Decree-Law 2011-88 of 24 September 2011 (associations)	TIPCS acts within its statutes, keeps accounts, is open about its funding and reports on it. Public and foreign funding have specific rules.	The Board approves the call and its funding; the executive administration signs. Funders and amounts are reported each year. Public or foreign money is accepted only after the Board checks the rules (check the current text).
Law 2004-63 of 27 July 2004 (personal data)	Data are collected for a stated purpose, with consent, and kept only as long as needed; you may access, correct and object. The INPDP, the data-protection authority, receives declarations or gives authorisations; transfers abroad need safeguards.	Only the data listed in the application package are collected; referees are contacted after shortlisting. Interviewees' data follow the ethics review and the attribution they choose. The TIPCS declaration to the INPDP is in progress (check the site). Retention: [period to be fixed by the Board after the INPDP declaration].
Organic Law 2016-22 of 24 March 2016 (access to information)	People may ask public bodies for the information they hold. The law mainly binds public bodies; whether it reaches a private association that receives public money is for counsel to confirm.	Limited relevance. No classified or protected information is used, and TIPCS promises no release of any document by any body.
Law 2017-10 of 7 March 2017 (whistleblowers)	People who report corruption in good faith are protected from retaliation; external channels also exist (check the current text).	Raise a concern at Contact@tipcs.org with the subject "CONFIDENTIAL – Concern". Retaliation breaches the conduct undertaking.
Organic Law 2015-26 of 7 August 2015, as amended by Organic Law 2019-9 of 23 January 2019 (due diligence)	Know where funds come from and go, use traceable channels, keep records, and refuse doubtful money or partners.	Funders, hosts and partners, and any past or present tie declared by a senior fellow, are screened under the sanctions and due diligence policy. Support is provided only by traceable bank transfer under a signed agreement. Screening uses names against official lists, never origin, religion or ethnicity.
Code des obligations et des contrats; Law 2000-83 of 9 August 2000 (electronic exchanges)	A contract needs the genuine consent of capable adults, a lawful purpose and clear terms. Electronic signature is possible under the rules.	The fellowship agreement, the time-commitment letter, the neutrality undertaking and any co-tutorship agreement are in plain language, signed before the start and changed only in writing. The title of senior fellow carries no diplomatic or governmental status. Counsel checks the templates.
Law 94-36 of 24 February 1994 (copyright)	The author owns the work. Texts, images and photographs of others need permission or a clear licence; a person's image needs consent.	Authors keep authorship; TIPCS asks for a non-exclusive licence to publish. Third-party material must be cleared. Images follow the draft photo-and-media policy.
Labour Code (Law 66-27 of 30 April 1966); Social Security Code (Law 60-30 of 14 December 1960)	These codes govern employment and social-security duties. A fellowship is designed not to be a job: no salary and no subordination in research choices.	A senior fellow may stay in a full-time post if the employer acknowledges the commitment. The fellowship is not a salary or a pension supplement. Counsel and the chartered accountant confirm the tax and social-security treatment of support before the call opens.

19. Related TIPCS policies

Ratified policies are v1.0 of 25 Oct 2026 and are on the TIPCS policies page. Draft policies were written on 8 Nov 2026 for Board ratification and are not yet in force; until ratified, this call applies the principles stated in it.

Policy	Status	How it applies here
Fellowship policy (draft)	Draft	Status of fellows, agreement, support, conduct, suspension. Until ratified, this call states the working rules.
Recruitment policy (draft)	Draft	Not applicable: a fellowship is not a staff post. Selection follows this call's criteria and conflict rules.
Research ethics policy	Ratified	Ethics review, consent, data handling, integrity.
Safeguarding policy	Ratified	Code of conduct, protection of participants, reporting.
Whistleblowing and complaints policy	Ratified	Confidential concerns and complaints, no retaliation.
Conflicts of interest policy	Ratified	Stricter procedure for former officials: published interests, recusal on a topic and a statement of what may not be disclosed.
Sanctions and due diligence policy	Ratified	Screening of funders, hosts and partners; traceable funds.
Photo-and-media policy (draft)	Draft	Written consent for images and recordings.
Talent-pool candidate data policy (draft)	Draft	Applies only if an unsuccessful applicant separately opts in; there is no automatic transfer.
Partnership and due-diligence policy (draft)	Draft	Checks on universities, research units and venues hosting the roundtable before any agreement.

20. What the programme does not promise

TIPCS does not promise a visa, admission to any institution, a job or appointment, a diploma, degree or certificate recognised by a state, a title of any official standing, or any language or skill level. It does not promise access to officials, an influence on policy, or a renewal of the fellowship. The title of senior fellow is conferred by TIPCS only for the period of the fellowship and carries no diplomatic or governmental status.

21. Contact and frequently asked questions

Contact: Contact@tipcs.org, landline +216 73 553 915.

- Can I remain in my full-time post? Yes, if the agreed time commitment can be met and the employer acknowledges it.
- Is a university agreement required? No, it is optional.
- Must I chair every seminar? No, the chairing rotates among senior fellows.
- Can I propose a study alone, with no team? You will be assigned a research assistant; the study must still involve two departments.
- May I nominate a colleague? Yes, but the nominee must apply.

> Call for applications, version 1.0, 8 November 2026. Applications start from a TIPCS account at www.tipcs.org. TIPCS does not promise a job, a diploma, a visa or admission anywhere. Adults only (18+). General information, not legal advice: check the current official texts before relying on it.

Bourse senior de Carthage : appel à candidatures

Six mois pour que des experts seniors, anciens diplomates et professeurs dirigent une étude pluridisciplinaire phare, président le séminaire des boursiers et contribuent aux normes de recherche du TIPCS

La bourse senior de Carthage s'adresse à un petit nombre d'experts seniors, âgés de 18 ans et plus : professeurs, anciens diplomates, hauts responsables ou praticiens de renom. Elle dure six mois, avec un engagement de temps défini à la nomination, à Monastir (HBSSC) et à Tunis, et à distance. Les candidatures sont ouvertes toute l'année et commencent par un compte TIPCS.

Chaque boursier senior dirige une étude phare qui associe au moins deux départements : médiation et négociation, ressources partagées, mobilité, participation des femmes, mémoire et patrimoine, gouvernance de l'information, ainsi que la méthodologie et les normes. Un récit personnel ou un texte de plaidoyer n'est pas une étude de recherche. Le matériau d'expert est cité avec sa source et son contexte et recoupé avec au moins une source indépendante ; un protocole d'entretien commun consigne les choix d'attribution ; la table ronde obéit à des règles écrites et donne lieu à un compte rendu publié ; une même grille sert à relire tous les projets ; la note de normes expose le problème, la règle proposée, ses preuves et ses limites.

Le calendrier prévoit le cadrage devant le Conseil académique, le recueil des preuves, l'analyse avec séance de contradiction, une table ronde d'experts à huis clos fondée sur des règles, puis le rapport phare avec revue externe et la présentation publique. Le boursier présente le séminaire mensuel, relit les projets d'au moins deux autres boursiers et rédige une courte note de normes.

La co-tutelle est facultative : convention avec un établissement d'enseignement supérieur ou une unité de recherche, choisi dans une liste déroulante ou saisi librement, sans que la liste suppose un accord avec le TIPCS. Aucun nom d'établissement n'est utilisé sans consentement écrit.

La sélection pondère, pour un total de 100, l'importance de l'étude, la rigueur et la conception pluridisciplinaire, la distinction et le jugement, la capacité à diriger et à relire, l'indépendance et la contribution aux normes. Le financement est une architecture sans chiffre : [montant à fixer par le Conseil avec les financeurs].

Cadre juridique tunisien, expliqué en termes simples (information générale, non un avis juridique ; à vérifier sur les textes officiels en vigueur) : décret-loi n° 2011-88 du 24 septembre 2011 sur les associations (transparence des financements, comptabilité, rapports) ; loi n° 2004-63 du 27 juillet 2004 sur les données personnelles (déclaration à l'INPDP en cours) ; loi organique n° 2016-22 du 24 mars 2016 sur l'accès à l'information ; loi n° 2017-10 du 7 mars 2017 sur les lanceurs d'alerte ; loi organique n° 2015-26 du 7 août 2015, modifiée en 2019 (diligence raisonnable sur les fonds et les partenaires) ; Code des obligations et des contrats (conventions) ; loi n° 94-36 du 24 février 1994 sur la propriété littéraire et artistique (publications et images). Aucune pièce d'identité n'est demandée au dépôt. Politiques liées du TIPCS : bourses (projet), éthique de la recherche, protection, alerte et plaintes, conflits d'intérêts, sanctions et diligence raisonnable, photo et médias, vivier de talents. Les politiques nouvelles sont des projets soumis à la ratification du Conseil ; la politique de recrutement ne s'applique pas, car une bourse n'est ni un emploi ni un poste.

Le TIPCS ne promet ni visa, ni admission, ni emploi ou nomination, ni diplôme reconnu par un État, ni statut officiel, ni accès aux responsables, ni influence sur une décision. Contact : Contact@tipcs.org, +216 73 553 915.

> Appel à candidatures, version 1.0, 8 novembre 2026. Toute candidature part d’un compte TIPCS sur www.tipcs.org. Le TIPCS ne promet ni emploi, ni diplôme, ni visa, ni admission. Adultes uniquement (18 ans et plus). Information générale, non un avis juridique : vérifier les textes officiels en vigueur avant de s’y fier.

زمالة قرطاج للخبراء المتمرسين: دعوة لتقديم الطلبات

ستة أشهر ليقود الخبراء المتمرسون والدبلوماسيون السابقون والأساتذة دراسة رئيسة متعددة التخصصات، ويترأسوا ندوة الزملاء، ويسهموا في وضع معايير البحث في المعهد

تتوجه زمالة قرطاج إلى عدد محدود من الخبراء المتمرسين ممن بلغوا الثامنة عشرة فأكثر: أساتذة، ودبلوماسيين سابقين، وكبار المسؤولين أو الممارسين المرموقين. مدتها ستة أشهر مع التزام زمني يُحدَّد عند التعيين، في المنستير (مركز حنبعل الاجتماعي للطلبة) وتونس وعن بعد. باب التقديم مفتوح طوال السنة ويبدأ بإنشاء حساب في المعهد.

يقود كل زميل أقدم دراسة رئيسة تشمل قسمين على الأقل: الوساطة والتفاوض، والموارد المشتركة، والتنقل، ومشاركة النساء، والذاكرة والتراث، وحوكمة المعلومات، إضافة إلى المنهجية والمعايير. أما السيرة الشخصية أو النص الدعوي فليسا دراسة بحثية. يُستشهد بمادة الخبراء مع مصدرها وسياقها ويُتحقق منها بمصدر مستقل واحد على الأقل؛ ويسجّل بروتوكول مقابلة موحد خيارات الإسناد؛ وتخضع المائدة المستديرة لقواعد مكتوبة مع نشر محضر موجز؛ وتُستعمل شبكة تقييم واحدة لمراجعة مسودات جميع الزملاء؛ وتعرض مذكرة المعايير المشكلة والقاعدة المقترحة وأدلتها وحدودها.

يشمل الجدول الزمني التأطير أمام المجلس الأكاديمي، وجمع الأدلة، والتحليل مع جلسة مساءلة، وطاولة مستديرة مغلقة للخبراء وفق قواعد معلنة، ثم التقرير الرئيس مع مراجعة خارجية والعرض العام. ويترأس الزميل الندوة الشهرية، ويراجع مسودات زميلين آخرين على الأقل، ويكتب مذكرة موجزة في المعايير.

الإشراف الجامعي المشترك اختياري، وهو اتفاق مع مؤسسة تعليم عالٍ أو وحدة بحث، تُختار من قائمة منسدلة أو تُكتب، ولا يعني ورودها وجود اتفاق مع المعهد. ولا يُستخدم اسم أي مؤسسة دون موافقة كتابية.

يوزّع التقييم على معايير مجموعها مئة: أهمية الدراسة، والصرامة والتصميم متعدد التخصصات، والتميز وسداد الحكم، والقدرة على القيادة والمراجعة، والاستقلال، والإسهام في المعايير. ويُعرض التمويل هيكلًا بلا أرقام: [المبلغ يحدده مجلس الإدارة مع الممولين].

الإطار القانوني التونسي مشروحًا بعبارات مبسّطة (معلومات عامة وليست استشارة قانونية، ويجب التحقق من النصوص الرسمية السارية): المرسوم عدد 88 لسنة 2011 المؤرخ في 24 سبتمبر 2011 المتعلق بتنظيم الجمعيات (شفافية التمويل والمحاسبة والتقارير)؛ القانون الأساسي عدد 63 لسنة 2004 المؤرخ في 27 جويلية 2004 المتعلق بحماية المعطيات الشخصية (تصريح لدى الهيئة الوطنية لحماية المعطيات الشخصية قيد الإعداد)؛ القانون الأساسي عدد 22 لسنة 2016 المؤرخ في 24 مارس 2016 المتعلق بالحق في النفاذ إلى المعلومة؛ القانون عدد 10 لسنة 2017 المؤرخ في 7 مارس 2017 المتعلق بالإبلاغ عن الفساد وحماية المبلغين؛ القانون الأساسي عدد 26 لسنة 2015 المؤرخ في 7 أوت 2015 كما نُقّح سنة 2019 (العناية الواجبة تجاه الأموال والشركاء)؛ مجلة الالتزامات والعقود (الاتفاقيات)؛ القانون عدد 36 لسنة 1994 المؤرخ في 24 فيفري 1994 المتعلق بالملكية الأدبية والفنية (المنشورات والصور). ولا تُطلب وثيقة هوية عند التقديم. السياسات ذات الصلة في المعهد: الزمالات (مشروع)، وأخلاقيات البحث، وحماية المشاركين، والإبلاغ والشكاوى، وتضارب المصالح، والعقوبات والعناية الواجبة، والصور والإعلام، ومخزون الكفاءات. والسياسات الجديدة مشاريع تنتظر مصادقة المجلس؛ أما سياسة الانتداب فلا تنطبق لأن الزمالة ليست عملًا ولا منصبًا.

لا يَعِد المعهد بتأشيرة أو قبول أو وظيفة أو تعيين أو شهادة معترف بها من دولة أو صفة رسمية أو إمكانية لقاء المسؤولين أو تأثير في قرار. للتواصل: Contact@tipcs.org، الهاتف الثابت 915 553 73 216+.

نداء لتقديم الطلبات، الإصدار 1.0، 8 نوفمبر 2026. تبدأ كل طلبات التقدم من حساب لدى المعهد على www.tipcs.org. لا يَعِد المعهد بوظيفة ولا شهادة ولا تأشيرة ولا قبول. للبالغين فقط (18 سنة فأكثر). معلومات عامة وليست استشارة قانونية؛ يُرجى التثبت من النصوص الرسمية السارية قبل الاعتماد عليها.